arXiv:math/0203007v1 [math.AG] 1 Mar 2002

On surfaces with $p_g = 2$, $q = 1$ and non-birational bicanonical map [*]

Ciro Ciliberto, Margarida Mendes Lopes

Abstract

In the present note we show that any surface of general type over $\mathbb{C}$ with $p_g = 2$,$q = 1$ and non birational bicanonical map has a pencil of curves of genus 2. Combining this result with previous ones, one obtains that an irregular surface S of general type with $\chi(S) \geq 2$ and non-birational bicanonical map has a pencil of curves of genus 2.

1 Introduction

If a smooth complex surface S of general type has a pencil of curves of genus 2, then the bicanonical map ϕ of S is not birational. We call this exception to the birationality of the bicanonical map ϕ the *standard case*. The classification of the non-standard cases has a long history and we refer to the expository paper [Ci] for information on this problem.

The classification of non-standard irregular surfaces has been first considered by Xiao Gang in [X]. He gives a list of numerical possibilities for the invariants of the cases which might occur. More precise results have been obtained in [CFM], [CCM] and [CM].

In this note we prove the following:

Theorem 1.1 *Let S be an irregular surface of general type over $\mathbb{C}$ with $\chi(S) \geq 2$ and non-birational bicanonical map. Then S has a pencil of curves of genus 2.*

In view of the results contained in the aforementioned papers, in order to prove this theorem, it suffices to exclude the existence of non-standard cases with $p_g = 2, q = 1$. This we will do in §5 (see theorem 5.1). In §2 we prove some vanishing results which we apply later. In §§3, 4 we study the

---

[*] 2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 14J29

paracanonical system of the surfaces in question, proving a few numerical and geometric properties of it which allow us to prove theorem 5.1.

Acknowledgements: The present collaboration took place in the framework of the EC research project HPRN-CT-2000-00099, EAGER.

The second author is a member of CMAF and of the Departamento de Matemática da Faculdade de Ciências da Universidade de Lisboa.

The present paper has been finished during a visit of the second author to Rome supported by GNSAGA of INDAM.

Finally the authors want to thank the referee for the careful reading of the paper and for useful comments.

2 A preliminary result

In this section we prove some results that we will need further on.

Lemma 2.1 *Let S be an irregular surface of general type.*

If C is a 1-connected curve on S and $\eta \in Pic^0(S)$ is a point such that $h^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S(K+\eta)) = 0$, then $h^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S(K+\eta+C)) \leq 1$ and equality holds if and only if $\eta_{|C} \simeq \mathcal{O}_C$.

Furthermore, if $h^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S(K+C)) = 0$ and $h^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S(K+\eta+C)) = 1$, then η is a torsion point of $Pic^0(S)$.

Proof. By Serre duality and the hypothesis, we have $h^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S(K+\eta)) = h^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S(-\eta)) = 0$.

Considering the long exact sequence obtained from the exact sequence:

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_S(-\eta - C) \to \mathcal{O}_S(-\eta) \to \mathcal{O}_C(-\eta) \to 0$$

we obtain $h^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S(-\eta - C)) = h^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(-\eta))$. The line bundle $\mathcal{O}_C(-\eta)$ has degree 0 on C, thus, by [CFM], corollary (A.2), $h^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(-\eta)) \leq 1$ with equality holding if and only if $-\eta_{|C} \simeq \mathcal{O}_C$. Now the first assertion follows because, by Serre duality $h^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S(-\eta - C)) = h^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S(K+\eta+C))$.

The second assertion follows immediately from the first if we recall that $h^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S(K+C))$ is the dimension of the kernel of the restriction map $Pic^0(S) \to Pic^0(C)$. $\diamond$

Proposition 2.2 *Let S be an irregular surface of general type such that either $q = 1$ or the image of the Albanese map $a : S \to Alb(S)$ is a surface.*
(i) If C is a 1-connected curve on S and $\eta \in Pic^0(S)$ is a general point, then $h^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S(K + \eta + C)) \leq 1$.
(ii) If C is a 1-connected curve on S which is not contracted by the Albanese map $a : S \to Alb(S)$, then $h^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S(K + C)) < q$ and if $\eta \in Pic^0(S)$ is a general point, then $h^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S(K + \eta + C)) = 0$.
(iii) If C is a 1-connected curve on S which is contracted by the Albanese map $a : S \to Alb(S)$, then $h^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S(K + C)) = q$ and if $\eta \in Pic^0(S)$ is a general point, then $h^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S(K + \eta + C)) = 1$.

Proof. Assertion (i) is an immediate consequence of lemma 2.1 because $h^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S(K+\eta)) = 0$ for a general point $\eta \in Pic^0(S)$. For $q = 1$ this is clear by upper semicontinuity of $h^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(K + \eta))$ on $\eta \in Pic^0(S)$, whereas for $q \geq 2$ it follows by the generic vanishing theorem from [GL] (see also [Be]).

Let us prove assertion (ii). The assertion about $h^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S(K+C))$ is well known (see [Ra] and [Ca], remark 6.8). Indeed, since C is not contracted by the Albanese map, if $\eta \in Pic^0(S)$ is a general point, then $\eta_{|C}$ is non-trivial, i.e. the restriction map $Pic^0(S) \to Pic^0(C)$ is non-zero. Hence its tangent map $H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S) \to H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C)$ is non-zero, thus $h^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S(K + C))$, which is the dimension of its kernel, is smaller than $h^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S) = q$. The assertion about $h^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S(K + \eta + C))$ then follows also from lemma 2.1.

The proof of (iii) is similar and therefore we omit it. ◇

3 Some properties of the paracanonical curves

Let S be an irregular surface of general type. We denote by K a canonical divisor of S. If $\eta \in Pic^0(S)$ is any point, we will consider the linear system $|K + \eta|$. We denote by C_η a curve in $|K + \eta|$, called a *paracanonical curve* of S.

Assume now that $p_g = 2, q = 1$ for S. Then, for a general point $\eta \in Pic^0(S)$, the linear system $|K+\eta|$ is a pencil. The curves C_η thus describe, for a general point $\eta \in Pic^0(S)$, a continuous system $\mathcal{K}$ of dimension 2 of curves on S, called the *main paracanonical system* of S.

We will write $|K + \eta| = F_\eta + |M_\eta|$, where $F := F_\eta$ is the fixed part and $|M| := |M_\eta|$ is the movable part of $|K + \eta|$. Next we prove two lemmas about the main paracanonical system.

Lemma 3.1 *In the above setting, there is a not empty open Zariski subset* $U \subseteq Pic^0(S)$ *such that either* F_η *or* $|M_\eta|$ *stays fixed as* η *varies in* U*.*

Proof. Let $U \subseteq Pic^0(S)$ be the not empty open set such that F_η depends flatly on $\eta \in U$, so that the algebraic equivalence class of F_η is independent on $\eta \in U$.

Suppose F_η varies with $\eta \in U$. Fix a point $\epsilon \in Pic^0(S)$. Then, when η varies, the system $F_\eta + |M_\epsilon|$ varies describing the general paracanonical system in $\mathcal{K}$. This proves that $|M_\epsilon|$ does not depend on η. $\diamond$

Lemma 3.2 *Let* S *be a minimal surface of general type with* $p_g = 2$, $q = 1$, *with no pencil of curves of genus* 2 *and* $K^2 \leq 8$. *For any* $\eta \in Pic^0(S)$ *such that* $h^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(K + \eta)) = 2$, *the general curve in* $|M_\eta|$ *is irreducible. Furthermore:*
(i) if $|M_\eta| = |M|$ *does not depend on* $\eta \in Pic^0(S)$, *then either* F_η *is* 1-*connected or* $F_\eta = A_\eta + B$, *where* B *is a fundamental cycle,* $A := A_\eta$ *is* 1-*connected, and* $K_S^2 = 8$, $K_S \cdot M = 4$, $A \cdot B = 0$, $K \cdot A = 4$, $A^2 = 2$, $M \cdot A = M \cdot B = 2$;
(ii) if $F_\eta = F$ *does not depend on* $\eta \in Pic^0(S)$, *then either* $F = 0$, *or* $F \cdot M = 2$, F *is* 1-*connected and* $M^2 \geq 4$ *or* $K^2 = 8$, $M^2 = F \cdot M = 4$ *and* $K \cdot F = 0$. *In this latter case either* F *is* 1-*connected or* $F = A + B$ *with* A, B *fundamental cycles such that* $A \cdot B = 0$.

Proof. We start by proving that the general curve in $|M_\eta|$ is irreducible if $h^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(K + \eta)) = 2$. Otherwise the pencil $|M_\eta|$ would be composed with a pencil $\mathcal{P}$. The pencil $\mathcal{P}$ cannot be rational, since $|M_\eta|$ has dimension 1. Since $q = 1$, then $\mathcal{P}$ would be an elliptic pencil, i.e. the Albanese pencil of S, and $M_\eta \equiv 2G$, where G is a curve in $\mathcal{P}$. Since $G^2 = 0$, we must have $F_\eta \neq 0$ and we cannot have $K \cdot F_\eta = 0$, otherwise F_η would be contained in curves of $\mathcal{P}$ and the canonical curves would be disconnected. Thus we have $8 \geq K^2 \geq K \cdot F + 2K \cdot G > 2K \cdot G$, hence $K \cdot G = 2$, i.e. $\mathcal{P}$ would be a pencil of curves of genus 2, a contradiction.

Now we prove (i). Suppose $|M_\eta| = |M|$ does not depend on $\eta \in Pic^0(S)$. Then F_η moves with η. We claim that $K \cdot F_\eta \geq 4$ and therefore $K \cdot M \leq 4$. Indeed, let $G := G_\eta$ be an irreducible component of $F := F_\eta$ moving with η, so that G is nef. Notice that by Debarre's inequality [De] we have $K^2 \geq 2p_g = 4$. Then the index theorem tells us that $K \cdot G \geq 2$ and $K \cdot G = 2$ would imply that G moves in a pencil of curves of genus 2, a contradiction. If $K \cdot G = 3$, the index theorem again says that $G^2 = 1$

and we have a contradiction by proposition (0.18) from [CCM]. Therefore $K \cdot F \geq K \cdot G \geq 4$ and there is a unique component G of F moving with η, otherwise $K \cdot F \geq 8$ and therefore $K \cdot M \leq 0$, a contradiction.

If $F \cdot M = 2$, then F is 1-connected by A.4 from [CFM]. Assume $F \cdot M > 2$. Then we have $4 \geq K \cdot M = F \cdot M + M^2 \geq 4 + M^2$, yielding $F \cdot M = 4, M^2 = F^2 = 0, K \cdot M = K \cdot F = 4, K^2 = 8$. If F is not 1-connected, then $F = A + B$ with A, B effective, non-zero divisors, such that $A \cdot B \leq 0$. Then $(M + A) \cdot B \geq 2$, hence $M \cdot B \geq 2 - A \cdot B \geq 2$, and similarly $M \cdot A \geq 2$. Since $F \cdot M = 4$ we must have $M \cdot A = M \cdot B = 2$ and $A \cdot B = 0$. Thus F is 0-connected and A and B are also 0-connected by A.4 from [CFM]. Suppose the unique component G of F moving with η sits in A. Then $K \cdot A = 4$ and $K \cdot B = 0$. From $0 = K \cdot B = B \cdot A + B^2 + B \cdot M = B^2 + 2$, we deduce $B^2 = -2$, hence B is a fundamental cycle. Suppose A is not 1-connected and write $A = A' + A''$, with $A' \cdot A'' = 0$. We claim that $B \cdot A' = B \cdot A'' = 0$. Suppose indeed that $B \cdot A' > 0$. Since $0 = B \cdot A = B \cdot A' + B \cdot A''$, we would have $B \cdot A'' < 0$. But then $A'' \cdot (A' + B) = A'' \cdot B < 0$, contrary to the fact that F is 0-connected. Suppose G sits in A'. We claim that $M \cdot G \geq 2$. Indeed, clearly $M \cdot G > 0$. If $M \cdot G = 1$, since G is not rational, then $|M|$ would cut a fixed point on G, hence G would be properly contained in a curve of $|M|$, contrary to the fact that G moves on S. Since $M \cdot G \geq 2$, we have $M \cdot A' = 2, M \cdot A'' = 0$. But then $A'' \cdot (A' + B + M) = 0$, contrary to the 2-connectedness of the canonical divisors. This ends the proof of (i).

In case (ii) we set $M := M_\eta$. One has $M^2 > 0$ since M moves in a system $\mathcal{M}$ of curves of dimension 2 and the general curve M is irreducible. The case $M^2 = 1$ is excluded by proposition (0.14, iii) of [CCM]. The case $M^2 = 2$ is also excluded by theorem (0.20) of [CCM]. Thus we may assume $M^2 \geq 3$. Suppose that $M^2 = 3$, in which case $F \neq 0$, otherwise we would have $K^2 = M^2 = 3$ against [De]. Again by theorem (0.20) of [CCM], $\mathcal{M}$ has no base point. Fix $\eta \in Pic^0(S)$ general and a general curve $M \in |M_\eta|$. For a general $\epsilon \in Pic^0(S)$, the pencil $|M_\epsilon|$ cuts out on M a base point free g^1_3 which has to vary with ϵ by (1.6) of [CFM]. This is impossible, since $M \cdot (K + M) = M \cdot (2M + F) \geq 8$ and therefore $p_a(M) \geq 5$. Thus $M^2 \geq 4$. If $M \cdot F \geq 4$, then $8 \geq K^2 \geq K \cdot M = F \cdot M + M^2$, proving that $K^2 = 8$ and $M^2 = F \cdot M = 4$, $K \cdot F = 0$. Suppose F is not 1-connected and write $F = A + B$ with A, B effective, non-zero divisors, such that $A \cdot B \leq 0$. By the same argument we made before, we have $M \cdot A = M \cdot B = 2$ and $A \cdot B = K \cdot A = K \cdot B = 0$. Since $F^2 = -4$ we have that $A^2 = B^2 = -2$, and this concludes the proof of (ii). ◇

4 Non birationality of the bicanonical map and the paracanonical system

Now we will consider a minimal surface S of general type with $p_g = 2, q = 1$, with non-birational bicanonical map ϕ, presenting the non-standard case. Notice that, by [R] and by proposition 3.1 of [CM], one has then $K^2 \leq 8$. We also recall the following lemma already contained in [CCM] (see lemma (2.2) of that paper):

Lemma 4.1 *Fix $\eta \in Pic^0(S)$ and let x, y be points on S such that $\phi(x) = \phi(y)$, with y not lying in the base locus of $|K - \eta|$. In particular one may assume that x, y are general points such that $\phi(x) = \phi(y)$. Then x belongs to a curve C_η in $|K + \eta|$ if and only if $y \in C_\eta$.*

Let $\nu > 1$ be the degree of ϕ. In view of this lemma, ϕ restricts to the general curve M_η to a map of degree ν to its image. In particular, if ϕ has degree 2, then the bicanonical involution fixes the curves M_η and therefore also the curves F_η.

Now we are ready for the proof of the following proposition:

Proposition 4.2 *Let S be a minimal surface of general type with $p_g = 2$, $q = 1$, with non-birational bicanonical map, presenting the non-standard case. Then, with the same notation as in lemma 3.2, we have that $F = F_\eta$ is independent of $\eta \in Pic^0(S)$, and either:*
(i) $F = 0$ or F is 1-connected, strictly contained in a fibre of the Albanese pencil of S and $F \cdot M = 2$, $M^2 \geq 4$, or;
(ii) $F = A + B$ with A, B fundamental cycles such that $A \cdot B = 0$ and $M^2 = F \cdot M = 4$, $K^2 = 8$.

In any case for a general $\eta \in Pic^0(S)$, the general curve $M \in |M_\eta|$ is bielliptic and for a general $\epsilon \in Pic^0(S)$, the linear system $|M_\epsilon|$ cuts out on M a complete linear series whose movable part is a g^1_4 composed with the bielliptic involution on M.

The bicanonical map has then degree 2 onto its image, and the bicanonical involution ι acts on the general curve M as the bielliptic involution.

Proof. First, assume that F is non-zero, 1-connected and not contained in a fibre of the Albanese pencil. We claim that this case is not possible.

Notice that $h^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S(2K - M_\eta)) = h^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S(K + F_\eta - \eta)) = 0$ for $\eta \in Pic^0(S)$ a general point. Indeed, if $|M_\eta|$ moves with η, then $F = F_\eta$ stays fixed by lemma 3.1, and the assertion follows by proposition 2.2, (ii). If $|M_\eta|$

stays fixed while η moves, the divisor $F_\eta - \eta$ stays also fixed, and we obtain the assertion by the second part of lemma 2.1, because $h^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S(-F_\eta)) = 0$ and η is not a torsion point, for a general $\eta \in Pic^0(S)$.

Thus if $\eta \in Pic^0(S)$ is general, the bicanonical system $|2K|$ cuts out on the general curve $M \in |M_\eta|$ a complete, non special series of degree $2g - 2 + F \cdot M$ and dimension $g - 2 + F \cdot M$, where we set $g := p_a(M)$. By lemma 4.1 this series is composite with an involution of degree $\delta \geq 2$. One has $2g - 2 + F \cdot M \geq \delta(g - 1) + \delta(F \cdot M - 1)$, hence $F \cdot M \geq (\delta - 2)(g - 1) + \delta(F \cdot M - 1) \geq 2(F \cdot M - 1)$, and therefore $F \cdot M = \delta = 2$ and the equality has to hold everywhere in the above inequalities. In particular M is hyperelliptic. Notice that two points $x, y \in M$ are conjugated in the hyperelliptic involution if and only if $\phi(x) = \phi(y)$, so that ϕ has degree 2 onto its image and we can talk about the bicanonical involution ι such that $\iota(x) = y$ if and only if $\phi(x) = \phi(y)$.

Suppose that $|M_\eta|$ moves with η. Let $\epsilon \in Pic^0(S)$ be a general point and consider the linear series $g_{\epsilon,\eta}$ cut out by $|M_\epsilon|$ on the general curve $M \in |M_\eta|$. This series is complete and its movable part is composite with the hyperelliptic involution on M by lemma 4.1. Furthermore its fixed divisor does not depend on ϵ, since it is supported at the finitely many points of S which belong to every curve M_η. This would imply that $g_{\epsilon,\eta}$ is independent on ϵ, a contradiction to (1.6) of [CFM].

Suppose that $|M_\eta|$ does not move with η and let again M be the general curve in $|M_\eta|$. Let $\epsilon \in Pic^0(S)$ be a general point. By lemma 4.1 the curve F_ϵ cuts out on M a divisor fixed by the hyperelliptic involution. This would imply that the restriction map $Pic^0(S) \to Pic^0(M)$ is the zero map, yielding $h^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S(-M)) = 1$, a contradiction. Our claim is thus proved.

Suppose next that F is 1-connected, contained in some fibre of the Albanese pencil of S. Remark that $h^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S(-F)) = 1$ and also $h^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S(-F + \eta)) = 1$, for $\eta \in Pic^0(S)$ general by proposition 2.2. Now we will prove that (i) holds and therefore $|M_\eta|$ moves with $\eta \in Pic^0(S)$.

In this case $|2K|$ cuts out on M an incomplete series of degree $2g - 2 + F \cdot M$ and dimension $g - 3 + F \cdot M$, which is composite with an involution of degree $\delta \geq 2$. We claim that $\delta = 2$. Suppose indeed that $\delta \geq 3$. Then $2g - 2 + F \cdot M \geq 3(g - 3) + 3F \cdot M$, i.e. $g + 2F \cdot M \leq 7$, which yields $g = 3$, $F \cdot M = 2$, $\delta = 3$. Then $4 = (K + M) \cdot M = F \cdot M + 2M^2 = 2 + 2M^2$, and we find $M^2 = 1$. By theorem (0.20) of [CCM], we see that $|M|$ cannot vary with η, which means that F has to. Namely F has to be a fibre of the Albanese pencil. Then $K \cdot F = F^2 + F \cdot M = 2$, and we find a contradiction.

From $\delta = 2$ we deduce $2(g - 3 + F \cdot M) \leq 2g - 2 + F \cdot M$, hence $F \cdot M \leq 4$.

If $F \cdot M = 4$, then M has to be hyperelliptic. Arguing as above, we see that $|M|$ cannot move with η, hence F has to, so that F is a fibre of the Albanese pencil. In this case, by lemma 4.1, the bicanonical involution has to fix every curve of the Albanese pencil. Thus, if $x, y \in M$ are in the g^1_2, i.e. if $\iota(x) = y$, then the fibre of the Albanese pencil through x also contains y. This would imply that the base of the Albanese pencil is rational, a contradiction.

If $F \cdot M = 2$, then $K \cdot F = F^2 + F \cdot M \leq 2$ and therefore F cannot move, otherwise the fibres of the Albanese pencil have genus 2. Then, by proposition 3.2, $M^2 \geq 4$. This ends the proof of (i).

Suppose now that F is not 1-connected. Then we will prove that $|M_\eta|$ moves with $\eta \in Pic^0(S)$, thus (ii) will follow by lemma 3.2, (ii).

If $|M|$ does not depend on $\eta \in Pic^0(S)$, then one has a decomposition $F = A+B$ as in part (i) of lemma 3.2 and we claim that $h^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S(-F)) = 1$. Indeed $h^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S(-A)) = 0$ and $h^0(B, \mathcal{O}_B(A)) = 1$ and the claim follows by the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_S(-F) \to \mathcal{O}_S(-A) \to \mathcal{O}_B(-A)) \to 0$$

Hence $|2K|$ cuts out on the general curve $M \in |M|$ a linear series of degree $2g + 2$ and dimension $r \geq g + 1$, which is composite with an involution of degree $\delta \geq 2$. This implies again that the involution in question is a g^1_2 on M. Arguing as before we see that the curves A should cut out on M divisors of this g^1_2, which leads to a contradiction. Thus we proved that $|M_\eta|$ moves with $\eta \in Pic^0(S)$.

As for the final part of the statement, first suppose we are in case (i). As we saw, $|2K|$ cuts out on M a g^{g-1}_{2g} composed with an involution of degree 2. As usual, one sees that M cannot be hyperelliptic. Then it has to be bielliptic. The series cut out by $|M_\epsilon|$ on M is complete. Its fixed part does not depend on ϵ, whereas its movable part is composed with the bielliptic involution and it is complete, of dimension 1, hence it is a g^1_4.

Suppose now we are in case (ii). Recall that, by lemma 4.1, the degree of the map $\phi_M : M \to \phi(M)$ is $\nu \geq 2$, which is also the degree of ϕ. Since $M \cdot (K + M) = 12$, then M has genus 7. The series cut out by $|M_\epsilon|$ on M is a complete g^1_4, varying with the parameter $\epsilon \in Pic^0(S)$. Notice that its fixed part does not depend on ϵ, hence it is empty, since there are finitely many g^1_d's on M, with $d \leq 3$. Furthermore lemma 4.1 yields that either $\nu = 2$ or $\nu = 4$. In the latter case however the g^1_4 would not vary with ϵ, a contradiction. Therefore $\nu = 2$ and the g^1_4 is composed with a fixed involution of degree 2, independent on ϵ. Since the g^1_4 is complete and varies with ϵ, we see that the involution on M has to be elliptic. $\diamond$

Notice that the assertion concerning the degree of the bicanonical map contained in the above proposition, also follows by the results of [X].

5 The main theorem

In this section we will prove the following:

Theorem 5.1 *If S is a surface of general type with $p_g = 2$, $q = 1$, non-birational bicanonical map, then it presents the standard case.*

By proposition 4.2, if S is a minimal surface of general type with $p_g = 2$, $q = 1$, such that the bicanonical map is not birational and S presents the non-standard case, then for $\eta \in Pic^0(S)$ a general point, the pencil $|K+\eta| = F + |M_\eta|$ has a fixed part F which does not depend on η and a movable part $|M| = |M_\eta|$ which depends on η. Notice that all components of F sit in fibres of the Albanese pencil.

We let $\mathcal{M}$ be the 2-dimensional system of curves which is the closure, in the Hilbert scheme, of the family of curves $|M_\eta|$, with $\eta \in Pic^0(S)$ a general point. If we let $\mu := M^2$, then $\mu \geq 4$ and the general curve $M \in \mathcal{M}$ is irreducible. Notice that $\mathcal{M}$ has a natural morphism $\mathcal{M} \to Pic^0(S)$ whose general fibre is a $\mathbb{P}^1$.

Fix a general point $x \in S$ and set $x' := \iota(x)$. Consider the system $\mathcal{M}_x$ of curves in $\mathcal{M}$ passing through x. We claim that the system $\mathcal{M}_x$ is irreducible, of dimension 1, parametrized by $Pic^0(S)$. Indeed any irreducible component of $\mathcal{M}_x$ has dimension 1 and no irreducible component of $\mathcal{M}_x$ can be contained in a fibre of $\mathcal{M} \to Pic^0(S)$. Since $\mathcal{M}_x$ cuts the general fibre of $\mathcal{M} \to Pic^0(S)$ in one point, the claim follows.

Notice that $\mathcal{M}_x = \mathcal{M}_{x'}$, so it is appropriate to denote this system by $\mathcal{M}_{x,x'}$.

Let us point out the following corollary of proposition 4.2:

Corollary 5.2 *In the above setting, two general curves in $\mathcal{M}$ have intersection multiplicity $\mu - 4$ at fixed points of S, and intersect at 4 further distinct variable points, which are pairwise conjugated in the bicanonical involution ι. In particular, given a general point $x \in S$, two general curves in $\mathcal{M}_{x,x'}$ intersect at 2 distinct variable points, which are conjugated in the bicanonical involution.*

Recall that the *index* of a 1-dimensional system of curves on a surface is the number of curves of the system passing through a general point of the surface. Next we prove the following lemma:

Lemma 5.3 *In the above setting, the system $\mathcal{M}_{x,x'}$ has index $\nu = 2$.*

Proof. The index of $\mathcal{M}_{x,x'}$ cannot be 1. In this case, in fact, $\mathcal{M}_{x,x'}$ would be a pencil. Since $\mathcal{M}_{x,x'}$ has base points at x and x', it would be a rational pencil, whereas we know it is parametrized by $Pic^0(S)$. Thus $\nu \geq 2$.

Let y be a general point of S and set $y' := \iota(y)$. Let M be a curve in $\mathcal{M}_{x,x'}$ through y and therefore also through y'. Since $\nu \geq 2$, we know there is some other curve M' in $\mathcal{M}_{x,x'}$ through y and y'. Suppose there is a third one M''. Then M' and M'' would cut out on M the same divisor, a contradiction to (1.6) of [CFM]. ◇

Set $Pic^0(S) := A'$ and fix $x \in S$ a general point. Another general point $y \in S$ determines two point $m_{1,y}, m_{2,y}$ in A' corresponding to the two curves of $\mathcal{M}_{x,x'}$ containing y. Thus we can consider the map:

$$\alpha : S \to Pic^2(A') \simeq A'$$

which takes the general point $y \in S$ to the divisor class of $m_{1,y} + m_{2,y}$. This map is clearly surjective and it factors through the Albanese map. We denote by G the general fibre of α, which is composed of curves of the Albanese pencil.

We are finally in a position to give the:

Proof of theorem 5.1. Assume S presents the non-standard case. Let us keep the above notation and let us set $n := G \cdot M$. By proposition 4.2, one has $G \cdot K = G \cdot F + G \cdot M = G \cdot M = n$. Since we are in the non-standard case, we have $n \geq 4$.

Fix a general point $x \in X$ and the system $\mathcal{M}_{x,x'}$. Let M be a general curve in $\mathcal{M}_{x,x'}$. Let $M \cap G$ consist of the points $x_1, ..., x_n$. Notice that each of the points $x_1, ..., x_n$ is a general point of S, in particular it is different from x and x'. Let m be the point of A' corresponding to the curve M. By lemma 5.3 and by the generality of $x_1, ..., x_n$, for each $i = 1, ..., n$ there is only another curve $M_i \in \mathcal{M}_{x,x'}$, different from M, containing x_i. Let m_i be the point of A' corresponding to M_i, $i = 1, ..., n$. One has $\alpha(x_i) = m + m_i$, $i = 1, ..., n$. On the other hand, by the meaning of G, one has $\alpha(x_1) = ... = \alpha(x_n)$, i.e. the divisor classes of $m + m_i$ on A' are the same for all $i = 1, ..., n$. This implies $m_1 = ... = m_n$, i.e. $M_1 = ... = M_n$. Let M' be this curve. Then $x_1, ..., x_n$ sit in the intersection of the two curves M and M' of $\mathcal{M}_{x,x'}$, off the points x, x'. By corollary 5.2 we have $n + 2 \leq 4$, i.e. $n \leq 2$, a contradiction. ◇

References

[Be] A. Beauville, *Annulation du H^1 et systémes paracanoniques sur les surfaces* J. reine und angew. Math. 388 (1988), 149–157, Erratum: J. reine und angew. Math. 418 (1991), 219–220.

[Ca] F. Catanese, *On the moduli spaces of surfaces of general type*, J. Differential Geometry, 19 (1984), 483–515.

[CCM] F. Catanese, C. Ciliberto, M. Mendes Lopes, *On the classification of irregular surfaces of general type with non birational bicanonical map*, Transactions of the A.M.S., vol. 350 (1998), 275–308.

[Ci] C. Ciliberto, *The bicanonical map for surfaces of general type*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math., 62.1, (1997), 57–84.

[CFM] C. Ciliberto, P. Francia, M. Mendes Lopes, *Remarks on the bicanonical map for surfaces of general type*, Math. Zeit., 224 (1997), 137–166.

[CM] C. Ciliberto, M. Mendes Lopes, *On surfaces with $p_g = q = 2$ and non-birational bicanonical map*, to appear in Advances in Geometry.

[De] O. Debarre, *Inégalités numériques pour les surfaces de type général*, Bull. Soc. Math. de France, 110 (1982), 319–342.

[GL] M. Green, R. Lazarsfeld, *Deformation theory, generic vanishing theorems, and some conjectures of Enriques, Catanese and Beauville* Invent. Math., 90, 1987, 416–440.

[Ra] C. P. Ramanujam, *Remarks on the Kodaira vanishing theorem*, J. Indian Math. Soc. (1972), 41-51, suppl. ibidem 38 (1974), 121–124.

[R] I. Reider, *Vector bundles of rank 2 and linear systems on algebraic surfaces*, Ann. of Math., 127, 1988, 309–316.

[X] Xiao Gang, *Degree of the bicanonical map of a surface of general type*, Amer. J. of Math., 112 (5) (1990), 713–737.

Ciro Ciliberto
Dipartimento di Matematica
Universita di Roma Tor Vergata
Via della Ricerca Scientifica
00133 Roma, ITALY
cilibert@mat.uniroma2.it

Margarida Mendes Lopes
CMAF
Universidade de Lisboa
Av. Prof. Gama Pinto, 2
1649-003 Lisboa, PORTUGAL
mmlopes@lmc.fc.ul.pt